Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

| Application No. | Applicant(s) | |
|-----------------|-----------------------|--|
| 10/722,651 | KHAN ALICHERRY ET AL. | |
| | | |
| Examiner | Art Unit | |

| | DAVID SILVER | 2 120 | |
|---|---|--|---|
| The MAILING DATE of this communication appe | ears on the cover sheet with the | correspondence add | ress |
| THE REPLY FILED 17 September 2008 FAILS TO PLACE THI | S APPLICATION IN CONDITION I | FOR ALLOWANCE. | |
| 1. The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on application, applicant must timely file one of the following application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Appelor Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 Coperiods: | replies: (1) an amendment, affidaveal (with appeal fee) in compliance CFR 1.114. The reply must be filed | it, or other evidence, v with 37 CFR 41.31; o | which places the r (3) a Request |
| a) The period for reply expiresmonths from the mailing | - | | |
| b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this A no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire to Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.076) | ater than SIX MONTHS from the mailin b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE | g date of the final rejection | on. |
| Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extunder 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). NOTICE OF APPEAL | tension and the corresponding amount shortened statutory period for reply orig than three months after the mailing da | of the fee. The appropri inally set in the final Office | ate extension fee be action; or (2) as |
| The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in comp filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any exter Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed w AMENDMENTS | nsion thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to | avoid dismissal of the | |
| 3. The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, to (a) They raise new issues that would require further count (b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE belo | nsideration and/or search (see NO | | ecause |
| (c) ☐ They are not deemed to place the application in bet appeal; and/or (d) ☐ They present additional claims without canceling a content of the present additional claims without canceling a content of the present additional claims without canceling a content of the present additional claims without canceling a content of the present additional claims without canceling a content of the place the application in between the place t | | | he issues for |
| NOTE: <u>See Continuation Sheet</u> . (See 37 CFR 1.1 | . ,, | | |
| 4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.12 | | mpliant Amendment (| PTOL-324). |
| 5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s): | | | |
| 6. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be all non-allowable claim(s). | | | |
| 7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is provided that the status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed: | | ll be entered and an e | xplanation of |
| Claim(s) objected to: Claim(s) rejected: | | | |
| Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE | | | |
| 8. The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, bu because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e). | | | |
| The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to o showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary | vercome <u>all</u> rejections under appear and was not earlier presented. S | al and/or appellant fail ee 37 CFR 41.33(d)(1 | s to provide a). |
| 10. ☐ The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER | n of the status of the claims after e | ntry is below or attach | ed. |
| 11. The request for reconsideration has been considered bu | t does NOT place the application in | n condition for allowan | ce because: |
| 12. ☐ Note the attached Information <i>Disclosure Statement</i> (s). (13. ☐ Other: See additional sheet(s). | (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s) | | |
| /Kamini S Shah/ Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 2128 | | | |
| | | | |

Continuation of 3. NOTE: Previously, claim 12 depended on claim 10, which depended on claim 1. Currently, as claimed, claim 12 depends on independent claim 11, and therefore significantly alters the scope of the claimed invention. The claim must be considered for compliance with 35 U.S.C. § 112 / 101 as well as consideration whether it can be rejected based on prior-art (based on infringement test)..

See attached pages for continuation of 13.